Inhibition of tumor growth and post-treatment regrowth by SM08502, a novel, small-molecule CDC-like kinase (CLK) inhibitor, in combination with standard of care in pancreatic cancer models

Carine Bossard¹, Igor Astsaturov², Nathalia Cruz¹, Brian Eastman¹, Chi-Ching Mak¹, Sunil KC¹, Betty Tam³, Gail Bucci¹, Josh Stewart¹, Timothy Phalen¹, Steven Cha¹ ¹Samumed, LLC, San Diego, CA; ²Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA; ³Formerly Samumed, LLC, San Diego, CA

Background

- Relapse and treatment resistance remain common in pancreatic cancer (PC) with standard of care (SOC) chemotherapy regimens
- Combining SOC with targeted drug therapies may improve treatment outcomes and clinical benefits^{1, 2}
- Aberrant activation of the Wnt signaling pathway is implicated in multiple cancer hallmarks including proliferation, metastasis, and immune evasion and is common in $PC^{3,4,5}$
- CDC-like kinases (CLKs) phosphorylate serine/arginine-rich splicing factors (SRSFs), which regulate spliceosome assembly and subsequent gene expression^{6,7}
- SM08502 is a novel, oral, small-molecule pan-CLK inhibitor that has demonstrated potent Wnt signaling inhibition in preclinical colorectal and PC models^{8, abstract #A02}
- These studies examined the tolerability and efficacy of SM08502 in combination with SOC chemotherapy regimens including gemcitabine (GEM), paclitaxel (P), and Nab-paclitaxel (Nab-P) in cell line- and patient-derived xenograft models of PC

Methods

- Cell line-derived xenograft Nude mice were implanted subcutaneously in the right flank with Capan-1 or HPAFII PCderived cell lines then randomized to treatment and vehicle (control) groups when tumors reached ~100-200 mm³ (Figs. 1-3)
- Patient-derived xenograft (PDX) model Severe combined immunodeficient (SCID) mice were implanted subcutaneously in both flanks with a patient-derived tumor (PNX0001, NexusPharma, Inc) fragment and randomized; tumor growth was calculated as percent relative to size at implantation (**Fig. 4**)
- Treatment (tumor growth) phase Vehicle, SM08502 (QD p.o.), and/or GEM (25 or 75 mg/kg), P (15 mg/kg), and Nab-P (30 mg/kg, all Q7D i.p.) administered for 20-21 days (Figs. 1-4)
- Observation (tumor regrowth) phase Mice were observed for up to 40 days after treatment discontinuation (**Figs. 3-4**)
- Tumor growth inhibition (TGI) was calculated relative to the vehicle control group (treatment phase) or the corresponding SOC group (observation phase)
- Tumor regressions were assessed according to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) guidelines: 30-100% reduction in tumor volume relative to the start of the study. Safety and tolerability were assessed by bodyweight measurement

Figure 1. SM08502 + GEM inhibited tumor growth in Capan-1 xenografts



	TGI	p-value*	Regressions
Vehicle, QD	0%	1.000	0
GEM	26%	0.299	0
SM08502 25 mg/kg	75%	0.007	1
SM08502 12.5 mg/kg	40%	0.075	0
SM08502 12.5mg/kg + GEM	73%	0.009	0
SM09502 doood			125 mg/kg ID O7

Figure 3. SM08502 + GEM/Nab-P delayed tumor regrowth and improved survival in Capan-1 xenografts



Figure 4. SM08502 + GEM/Nab-P inhibited tumor regrowth in a PDX model



Results



	Day	27	Day 67			
*	p-value*	Regressions	τgi [†]	p-value [†]	Regressions	
,	1.0	0				
/ 0	0.233	0				
/ 0	0.191	0				
/ 0	0.003	1				
0	0.0008	2	0%	1.0	0	
0	0.0005	4	52%	0.094	2	
0	0.0005	5	41%	0.108	1	
6	0.0005	6	74%	0.003	1	

Day 21			Day 49				
Tumors	TGI*	p-value*	Regressions	Tumors	TGI†	p-value [†]	Regressions
9	0%	1					
11	29%	0.0877					
12	55%	0.001					
15	63%	0.0004					
10	94%	0.00003	9	10	0%	1.0	0
15	96%	0.00003	14	6	35%	0.18	0
15	95%	0.00003	13	4	71%	0.01	2
13	94%	0.00003	9				



Average bodyweight loss was <15% from baseline for all treatments except for SM08502 25 mg/kg + GEM/Nab-P, which was not well tolerated and thus excluded from observation

SM08502 dosed PO QD, GEM/Nab-P dosed 50 mg/kg/30 mg/kg IP Q7D GEM: Gemcitabine, Nab-P: Nab-Paclitaxel: *vs, vehicle: †vs, GEM/Nab-F

Poster #C09

- Kamisawa T, et al. Lancet. 2016;388:73-85.
- . McGuigan A, et al. World J Gastroenterol. 2018;24(43):4846-61
- Zhan T, et al. Oncogene. 2017;36:1461-73. 4. Zeng G, et al. Neoplasia. 2006;8(4):279-89.
- 5. Pasca di Magliano M, et al. PLOS ONE. 2007;2(11):e1155.
- 6. Colwill K, et al. *EMBO J*. 1996;15:265-75.
- 7. Long JC, et al. *Biochem J*. 2009;417:15-27.
- 8. Bossard C, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2019(supp; abstr e15185).

All authors are employees, shareholders, or consultants of Samumed, LLC. Other disclosures are listed in the published abstract.



9381 Judicial Drive, San Diego, CA 92121 info@samumed.com